



OBSERVATORY ON THE RESPECT FOR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS IN EUROPE

Newsletter n. 84

15 January 2021

Below are the main updates concerning case-law and acts relevant to the protection of fundamental rights, as published in the web site www.europeanrights.eu

For the acts of the **European Union** we have included:

- the Handbook of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), of the Council of Europe and of the European Court of Human Rights of 17.12.2020 on European law relating to asylum, borders and immigration, Edition 2020;
- the Report of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) of 8.12.2020 "*Migration: Fundamental rights issues at land borders*";
- the Communication of the European Commission of 2.12.2020 "Strategy to strengthen the application of the Charter of Fundamental Rights in the EU";
- Directive (EU) 2020/1828 of 25.11.2020 on representative actions for the protection of the collective interests of consumers;
- Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 of 25.11.2020 on cooperation between the courts of the Member States in the taking of evidence in civil or commercial matters (taking of evidence);
- Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 of 25.11.2020 on the service in the Member States of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters (service of documents);
- the communication of the European Commission of 30.9.2020 "2020 Rule of law report – The rule of law situation in the European Union".

For the **Council of Europe** we would like to highlight the following resolutions and recommendations:

of the **Parliamentary Assembly**:

- the Resolution 2356 and the Recommendation 2192 of 4.12.2020, "Rights and obligations of NGOs assisting refugees and migrants in Europe";
- the Resolution 2354 and the Recommendation 2190 of 4.12.2020, "Effective guardianship for unaccompanied and separated migrant children";
- the Resolution 2353 of 4.12.2020, "Supporting people with autism and their families";
- the Resolution 2352 and the Recommendation 2189 of 20.11.2020, "Threats to academic freedom and autonomy of higher education institutions in Europe";
- the Resolution 2351 of 20.11.2020, "The gender dimension of foreign policy".

For the **Court of Justice**, we added the decisions:

- 17.12.2020, C-336/19, *Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België and others*, on the protection of animals at the time of killing and on freedom of religion;
- 17.12.2020, joined cases C-354/20 PPU and C-412/20 PPU, *Openbaar Ministerie (Indépendance de l'autorité judiciaire d'émission)*, on the European arrest warrant and on the right to an independent and impartial judge and to a fair trial;
- 17.12.2020, C-398/19, *Generalstaatsanwaltschaft Berlin (Extradition vers l'Ukraine)*, on the extradition of a Union national towards a third Country;
- 17.12.2020, C-416/20 PPU, *Generalstaatsanwaltschaft Hamburg*, on the execution of the European arrest warrant and on the right to be present at the trial;
- 17.12.2020, C-667/19, *A.M. (Étiquetage des produits cosmétiques)*, on labelling of cosmetic products and on consumer protection;
- 17.12.2020, C-808/18, *Commission/ Hungary (Accueil des demandeurs de protection internationale)*, on the procedure for granting international protection;
- 10.12.2020, C-616/19, *Minister for Justice and Equality (Demande de protection internationale en Irlande)*, on the procedure for granting and withdrawing the refugee status;
- 08.12.2020, C-584/19, *Staatsanwaltschaft Wien (Ordres de virement falsifiés)*, on the European investigation order and on the concept of "judicial authority" and "issuing authority";
- 08.12.2020, C-620/18, *Hungary/ Parliament and Council*, and C-626/18, *Poland/ Parliament and Council*, both on posting of workers and on freedom to provide services;
- 03.12.2020, C-311/19, *BONVER WIN*, on gambling in certain places and on freedom to provide services;
- 01.12.2020, C-815/18, *Federatie Nederlandse Vakbeweging*, on posting of workers in the framework of the provision of services;
- 25.11.2020, C-302/19, *Istituto Nazionale della Previdenza Sociale (Prestations familiales pour les titulaires d'un permis unique)*, on the legislation of a Member State excluding, for purposes of determining entitlement to a family benefit, the family members of the holder of a single permit, who do not reside in the territory of that Member State;
- 25.11.2020, C-303/19, *Istituto Nazionale della Previdenza Sociale (Prestations familiales pour les résidents de longue durée)*, on the legislation of a Member State excluding, for the determination of rights to a family social security benefit, the family members of a long-term resident, who do not reside in the territory of that Member State;
- 25.11.2020, C-799/19, *Sociálna poisťovňa*, on the protection of employees in the event of insolvency of their employer;
- 24.11.2020, joined cases C-225/19 and C-226/19, *Minister van Buitenlandse Zaken*, on the appeal against the decision to refuse a visa;
- 24.11.2020, C-510/19, *Openbaar Ministerie (Faux en écritures)*, on the European arrest warrant and on the concept of executing judicial authority;
- 19.11.2020, C-238/19, *Bundesamt für Migration und Flüchtlinge () and asile*, on the requirements for the recognition of the status of refugee;
- 18.11.2020, C-463/19, *Syndicat CFTC*, on equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in employment and occupation and on the right to leave following the statutory maternity leave for female workers who bring up their children on their own and on the exclusion of male workers from the right to that leave;
- 11.11.2020, C-61/19, *Orange Romania*, on the collection and storage of the copies of identity documents by a provider of mobile telecommunications services and on the protection of personal data and private life;

and the order:

- 10.12.2020, C-220/20, *OO (Suspension de l'activité judiciaire)*, on the national health emergency, on the suspension of judicial activity and on the right to a fair trial.

For the **European Court of Human Rights** we would like to highlight the judgments:

- 22.12.2020, Grand Chamber judgment, *Selahattin Demirtaş v. Turkey (n° 2)* (n. 14305/17), in which the Court found several violations of the Convention connected to the right to freedom of expression, to liberty and security, to the control in short terms of the legitimacy of the detention and to the right to free elections and ordered the immediate release of the political opponent Demirtaş;
- 22.12.2020, Grand Chamber judgment, *Gestur Jónsson and Ragnar Halldór Hall v. Iceland* (n. 68273/14 and 68271/14), on the fine given to two Icelandic lawyers for having infringed the judicial authority: the Court decided that articles 6 (right to a fair trial) and 7 (*nulla poena sine lege*) of the Convention are not applicable in this specific case because the proceeding does not concern a charge in criminal matter pursuant to article 6 of the Convention;
- 22.12.2020, *Usmanov v. Russia* (n. 43936/18), according to which the revocation of the Russian citizenship of the applicant and his expulsion to Tajikistan violated article 8 of the Convention;
- 22.12.2020, *M.L. v. Norway* (n. 64639/16), according to which the decisions of the authorities to revoke the parental authority of the applicant and authorize the adoption of the daughter amounted to the violation of the right to private and family life;
- 17.12.2020, *Sellami v. France* (n. 61470/15), according to which the conviction of a journalist for the violation of the judicial secrecy did not breach the freedom of expression of the applicant;
- 17.12.2020, *Mile Novaković v. Croatia* (n. 73544/14), which found the violation of the right to private life, following the dismissal of a teacher for having taught using the Serb language;
- 15.12.2020, *Pişkin v. Turkey* (n. 33399/18), on the dismissal based on the emergency decree n. 677 of 23 July 2016, in lack of an effective jurisdictional control: the Court found the violation of the right to family life and the right to a fair trial;
- 15.12.2020, *Mouvement national Ekoglasnost v. Bulgaria* (n. 31678/17), on the violation of the right to property, because of the excessive costs imposed on an NGO for the protection of climate;
- 10.12.2020, *M.M. v. Switzerland* (n. 59006/18), on the expulsion from the Swiss territory for a limited period of a person convicted of sexual crimes, which was deemed illegitimate;
- 10.12.2020, *Shiksaitov v. Slovakia* (n. 56751/16), on the illegal detention of a Swedish refugee in Slovakia, in view of his extradition to Russia;
- 10.12.2020, *Edizioni Del Roma Società Cooperativa A.R.L. and Edizioni Del Roma S.R.L. v. Italy* (n. 68954/13), according to which the proceedings before administrative courts, following the application of financial penalties by the administrative authority, were not in breach of the Convention;
- 08.12.2020, *AsDAC v. Republic of Moldova* (n. 47384/07), on the use, in view of the issue of money by the national bank of Moldova, of an artistic work without paying a reasonable fee to the author: the Court found the violation of the right to property;
- 08.12.2020, *Panioglu v. Romania* (n. 33794/14), according to which the sanctions imposed on a judge for having seriously criticized in the press the highest judge in the Country did not amount to a violation of the rights guaranteed by the Convention;
- 08.12.2020, *Bostan v. Republic of Moldova* (n. 52507/09), on the violation of the right to the respect for family life and the right to privacy of the domicile and of correspondence during an inspection;
- 03.12.2020, *Papachela and Amazon S.A. v. Greece* (n. 12929/18), on the absence of any initiative by the State in the event of the occupation by migrants of a hotel, in violation of the right to property;
- 01.12.2020, *Berkman v. Russia* (n. 46712/15), according to which the police did not protect the LGBTI demonstrators from homophobic aggressions;
- 01.12.2020, *Danilov v. Russia* (n. 88/05), on a proceeding concerning the betrayal of the state secret and the lack of impartiality of the court;
- 01.12.2020, Grand Chamber judgment, *Guðmundur Andri Ástráðsson v. Iceland* (n. 26374/18), on the violation of the right to "a court established by law", because of the

serious problems concerning the appointment of a judge of the Icelandic court of appeal, which in second instance confirmed the conviction of the applicant;

- 08.12.2020, *Victor Rotaru v. Republic of Moldova* (n. 26764/12), on the illegitimate refusal to issue a passport in favour of the applicant for non-reimbursed debts;
- 22.12.2020, *Schweizerische Radio- und Fernsehgesellschaft and publissime SA v. Switzerland* (n. 41723/14), according to which the obligation for a national state television to broadcast an advertising relevant for the national interest did not violate the freedom of expression of the applicant;
- 24.11.2020, *Bardali v. Switzerland* (n. 31623/17), on the detention conditions in the prison of Champ-Dollon: the Court did not find any violation of the Convention;
- 19.11.2020, *Dupate v. Latvia* (n. 18068/11), according to which the national jurisdictions did not protect the right to the respect for the private life of a woman, who was going to give birth;
- 19.11.2020, *Barbotin v. France* (n. 25338/16), on compensation following the illegitimate detention conditions in contrast with human dignity, deemed not sufficient, with the consequent violation of articles 3 and 13 of the Convention;
- 19.11.2020, *Efstratiou and others v. Greece* (n. 53221/14), according to which civil jurisdictions did not violate the right to access to a court for not having used a document, which hadn't been presented pursuant to procedural norms;
- 17.11.2020, *B and C v. Switzerland* (n. 889/19 and 43987/16), which deems in contrast with the Convention the expulsion of a homosexual to Gambia in lack of a reasonable evaluation of the risk of degrading treatments, which could be inflicted by private individuals, in absence of any form of protection;
- 10.11.2020, *Sabuncu and others v. Turkey* (n. 23199/17), according to which amounts to the violation of the right to freedom of expression the conviction to a long detention of a journalist/publisher, which assimilates an article on freedom of the press to propaganda in favour of terrorist organizations; on the contrary, the exceptional delay of the Constitutional Court after the declaration of the state of urgency does not amount to violation of article 18 of the Convention;
- 10.11.2020, *Vegotex International S.A. v. Belgium* (n. 49812/09), on the amendment of the law, with retroactive effects on a tax debt during a proceeding, deemed legitimate because foreseeable and justified by the necessity of certainty of law;
- 10.11.2020, *Neagu v. Romania* (n. 21969/15), and *Saran v. Romania* (n. 65993/16), which deem illegitimate the demand that prisoners must prove their religious conversion during the detention in order to receive a meal adequate and in accordance with their belief;
- 05.11.2020, *Ćwik v. Poland* (n. 31454/10), according to which a proof obtained with inhuman and degrading treatment inflicted on a person by individuals, without the participation of officials or representative persons of the State, cannot be admitted;
- 05.11.2020, *Balaskas v. Greece* (n. 73087/17), which deems illegitimate the conviction of a journalist for having qualified the head master of a high school as a "neo-Nazi" after the opinions expressed publicly by him.

For the **extra-European area** we have included:

- the decision of the United Nations Committee for Human Rights of 28.12.2020, according to which the Netherlands violated the rights of a child by indicating, in the civil state registry, his nationality as unknown and therefore depriving him of the international protection granted by Dutch law to children registered as stateless;
- the advisory opinion of the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights of 4.12.2020, according to which the national legislation in certain Members States of the African Union aiming at criminalizing vagrancy ("vagrancy laws") are not compatible with the standards provided for by the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, by the African Charter on the rights and welfare of the child and by the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa;
- the decision of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights of 24.11.2020, case *Casa Nina vs. Perú*, which recognized the responsibility of the State, pursuant to the

Convention, following the removal of Julio Casa Nina from the role of temporary vice prosecutor in breach of the rules on the termination of the appointment; the decision of 18.11.2020, case *Mota Abarullo y otros vs. Venezuela*, which found the violation by the State of the right to life, to the integrity of the individual and of the child in relation to the death of five persons during a fire in a detention centre for minors; the decision of 17.11.2020, case *Almeida vs. Argentina*, on the violation of the rights of Rufino Jorge Almeida for the failed compensation, provided for by the State pursuant to law n. 24.043 of 27 November 1991, concerning the time passed in a condition similar to parole during the military dictatorship; the decision of 10.11.2020, case *Olivares Muñoz y otros vs. Venezuela*, which recognized the responsibility of the State for the death of seven individuals and the wounding of other 27 for the excessive and disproportioned use of force by army agents during an operation inside a prison; and the decision of 6.10.2020, case *Martínez Esquivia vs. Colombia*, on the removal of the temporary prosecutor in violation of the right to an effective remedy and of the reasonable length of the proceeding;

- the order of the *Constitutional Court of South Africa* of 19.11.2020, which found the constitutional illegitimacy of section 1(xix)(v) of the "Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act 130" of 1993, where it expressly excluded domestic workers from the definition of "worker" and, therefore, from the benefits of social security provided for by the law.

As far as **case law of national courts** is concerned, the following decisions must be highlighted:

- **Austria:** the decision of the *Verfassungsgerichtshof* (Constitutional Court) of 11.12.2020, on assisted suicide, which recalls the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the ECHR; and another decision of 11.12.2020, on the constitutional illegitimacy of the prohibition to wear the Islamic veil in schools, which recalls the ECHR;
- **Belgium:** the decision of the *Cour constitutionnelle* n. 167/2020 of 17.12.2020, which makes a reference for a preliminary ruling to the Court of Justice on the interpretation of Directive (EU) 2018/822, amending Directive 2011/16/EU as regards mandatory automatic exchange of information in the field of taxation in relation to reportable cross-border arrangements, in the light of articles 7 and 47 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights;
- **France:** the decision of the *Cour de cassation* n. 2393 of 2.12.2020, on the applicability of the rules of the European arrest warrant in the case of a warrant issued against a Moroccan national; the decision n. 1137 of 2.12.2020, concerning a case of dismissal caused by the refusal to comply with an agreement on staff mobility, appealed on the basis of inadequate reason, in the light of ILO Convention n. 158; the decision n. 1119 of 25.11.2020, on the applicability of articles 6 and 8 of the ECHR to the protection of a worker's email address; the decision n. 1028 of 12.11.2020, which, in the matter of fixed-term contracts, examines Directive 1999/70/EC; and the decision n. 991 of 4.11.2020, in the matter of applicability of Regulation (EC) n. 883/2004 in the event of work carried out in several States; and the decision of the *Conseil d'État* (Council of State) of 19.11.2020, which allowed the French Government three months to give the Court the necessary elements to show the effectiveness of the measures adopted in order to respect the goals of reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, pursuant to the Paris Agreement and of EU legislation;
- **Germany:** the decision of the *Bundesverfassungsgericht* (Federal Constitutional Tribunal) of 18.11.2020, which finds illegitimate the claim for compensation of the victims of an air strike carried out by the *Bundeswehr* (army) in Afghanistan, which recalls article 41 of the ECHR, as well as the jurisprudence of the international court of The Hague; and the decision of 10.11.2020, in the matter of constitutional illegitimacy of the collection of data for antiterrorist aim, which recalls EU legislation;
- **Great Britain:** the decision of the *United Kingdom Supreme Court* of 16.12.2020, on the limits to the power of the State Secretary to decide the expulsion of an extra-EU national, in the light of the case *Zambrano* of the Court of Justice; and the decision of

- 13.11.2020, on standards which allow to close an investigation in a case of seeming suicide, also considering the jurisprudence of the ECHR on article 2; the decision of the England and Wales Court of Appeal of 21.12.2020, concerning which evidences must be taken into consideration, also according to article 4 of the ECHR, in order to qualify a person as victim of human trafficking; and the decision of 1.12.2020, in which the Court rejects the request of authorization to appeal against a decision, which rejected the objections concerning the violation of several fundamental freedoms provided for by the ECHR occurred, according to the applicant, following the measures adopted by the ministries in order to face the health emergency; the decision of the England and Wales High Court of 21.12.2020, on the violation of the right to privacy by a newspaper to the detriment of a citizen suspected to be involved in a terrorist attack and then found innocent; the decision of 1.12.2020, on the prescription of medicines inhibiting puberty for gender variant minors; the decision of 24.11.2020 in which the Court deems that diplomatic immunity is not incompatible with the guarantee of an effective remedy, pursuant to article 2 of the ECHR in a case of death after a car accident; the decision of 13.11.2020, on the concept of worker in relation to the applicability of EU norms on health and security in the workplace and the consequent obligation to provide medications in order to prevent the spread of COVID-19 even to those who are not employees; and another decision of 13.11.2020, in which the Court deems proportioned the balance with the right to family life of a national subjected to extradition consequent to the European arrest warrant and whose partner is pregnant, despite allowing the individual to appeal; and the decision of the Employment Appeal Tribunal of 21.12.2020, on the provision of temporary remedies in a case of gender discrimination and the compatibility of the national norms of the Equality Act 2010 with EU law (also in the light of the principle of horizontal effectiveness of the prohibition of discrimination) and article 14 of the ECHR;
- **Ireland:** the decision of the Supreme Court of 21.12.2020, which make a reference for a preliminary ruling to the Court of Justice on the interpretation of the concept of "member of the household of a Union citizen", pursuant to article 3(2) of Directive 2004/38/EC; and the decision of 8.12.2020, on the validity of several norms of section 56 of the International Protection Act 2015 ("Permission to enter and reside for member of family of qualified person"), also in the light of the ECHR and of the jurisprudence of the Courts of Strasbourg and Luxembourg; the decision of the Court of Appeal of 4.12.2020, in the matter of compensation to the victims of a crime, which analyses the "Scheme of Compensation of Personal Injuries Criminally Inflicted", in the light of EU law and in particular of Directive 2004/80/EC, as interpreted by the Court of Justice in the recent decision C-129/19 – *Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri v. BV*, also recalling the norms of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and of the ECHR; and the decision of 13.11.2020, which rejected the claim lodged by the members of the Traveller community, according to article 8 of the ECHR (respect for the domicile) and to the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg in such matter, against the order issued by the High Court, which banned the claimants from parking and keeping their vans, vehicles and associated properties on certain lands belonging to the Council of the County of Clare; the decisions of the High Court of 30.11.2020 and of 16.11.2020, in which the Court, in the light of the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg, refused to give execution to the European arrest warrant issued by the Romanian authorities, in virtue of the concrete risk of violation of the rights provided for by article 3 of the ECHR, because of the detention conditions of the defendants; and the decision of 6.11.2020, which, within the proceeding concerning the claim for child benefits by a European national who exercised the right to freedom of movement, makes a reference for a preliminary ruling to the Court of Justice on the interpretation of the concept of "claim" provided for by article 81 of the Regulation (EC) n. 883/2004;
 - **Italy:** the decision of the Corte costituzionale n. 254/2020 of 26.11.2020, concerning the law on collective dismissals, which recalls articles 20, 21, 30 and 47 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice and article 24 of the European Social Charter; the order of the Corte di cassazione n. 28646/2020 of 15.12.2020 which, following a preliminary ruling decided by the Court of Justice with a decision of 23.4.2020 – concerning the case of the statements by a well-known Italian

television character, who declared he would never call on services offered by a homosexual person – finds admissible the legal standing of an association promoting the protection of LGBTI citizens’ rights also for the aims of Directive 2000/38/EC and rejects the claim to revert the question to the Constitutional Court; the decision n. 31257/2020 of 9.11.2020 on the risk, in the light of the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg, of inhuman and degrading treatments against an individual who is going to be extradited from Italy towards the Republic of Moldavia; the order n. 27174/2020 of 27.11.2020, on the authentic interpretation of the right of civil servants to a certain benefit, which was deemed not in accordance with the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg and consequent referral of the question to the Constitutional Court; the order n. 23720/2020 of 28.10.2020, on the necessary balance, also in the light of the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg, between the right to private and family life and the control of immigration in the event of a residence permit issued for humanitarian reasons; the order n. 23017/2020 of 21.10.2020, according to which a reason to grant humanitarian protection may be the domestic violence against the applicant, in the light of the Convention of Istanbul; and the order n. 20642/2020 of 29.9.2020, according to which serious and proved discrimination on grounds of caste in the Country of origin, which prevents from freely found a family, may be the reason to have access to humanitarian protection, also in the light of the Court of Strasbourg’s guideline;

- **Portugal:** the decision of the *Tribunal Constitucional* n. 770/2020 of 21.12.2020, on the probative value of the statements of the accused person given in a phase antecedent to the trial, and not produced or read during the hearing, in the light of the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg; and the decision n. 711/2020 of 9.12.2020, which, with regard to an arbitration proceeding in tax matters, makes a reference for a preliminary ruling to the Court of Justice on the interpretation of article 110 TFEU, alone or in combination with article 191 TFEU;
- **Spain:** the decision of the *Tribunal Constitucional* n. 172/2020 of 19.11.2020, which rejected, almost completely, the claim lodged against Organic Law 4/2015 of 30 March 2015 aiming at protecting public security, also recalling the ECHR and the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg; and the decision of the *Tribunal Supremo* of 27.11.2020, in the matter of objection to the processing of personal data and in the matter of right to be forgotten, which recalls EU legislation relevant in such matter and the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice.

For what concerns **comments**, we have included the following texts:

Articles:

[Paolo Biavati](#) “Judges with no responsibility? Brief notes on the lack of the ratification of Protocol 16”

[Enzo Cannizzaro](#) “The peculiar case of the ratification of Protocol n. 16”

[Roberto Cosio](#) “Prohibition of dismissal at the time of Covid-19: between the Charter and the Courts”

[Vincenzo De Michele](#) “Judge’s independence and rule of law: the protection of honorary judges in the Union and in Italy at the time of the pandemic”

[Carlo Vittorio Giabardo](#) “Protocol 16 and the ambitious (but rough) project of a *global community of courts*”

[Elisabetta Lamarque](#) “Ratification of Protocol n. 16 to the ECHR: *left but not lost*”

[Bruno Nascimbene and Paolo Piva](#) "The reference for a preliminary ruling of the Court of Cassation to the Court of Justice: serious and clear violations of European Union law?"

[Cesare Pinelli](#) "The revert of the authorization to the ratification of Protocol n. 16 to the ECHR and the unexpected consequences of the symbolic sovereigntism on the national interest"

[Stefania Rupe](#) "Environmental protection in the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights"

[Lorenzo Salazar](#)

"*Brexit done!*: on which basis will criminal judicial cooperation with the United Kingdom go on...?"

Notes and comments:

[Gabriella Cappello](#) "Comment on the decision of the ECHR of 5 November 2020, case Balaskas v. Greece"

[Sergio Galleano](#) "Again on discrimination in the workplace: the peculiar case of Mrs. Vandenberg (decision of the EU Court of Justice of 20.06.20 in the case C-404/18)"

[Sandra Recchione](#) "Comment on the decision of the ECHR, First section, of 20 October 2020, Tondo v. Italy, n. 75037/14"

Documents:

[Committee for Justice Report](#) "The Giulio Regeni of Egypt since 2013: A report on deaths in custody in Egypt", of 10 December 2020

Annual reports by the International Campaign to Ban Landmines-Cluster Munition Coalition (ICBL-CMC) "[Cluster Munition Monitor 2020](#)", of 25 November 2020, and "[Landmine Monitor 2020](#)", of 12 November 2020