



OBSERVATORY ON THE RESPECT FOR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS IN EUROPE

Newsletter n. 65

15 November 2017

Below are the main updates concerning case-law and acts relevant to the protection of fundamental rights, as published in the web site www.europeanrights.eu

For the acts of the **European Union** we have included:

- the Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12.10.2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ("the EPPO");
- the study by the European Parliament of 6.10.2017 "Research of the Policy Department for Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs and the EPRS in the Fields of Responsibilities of the Special Committee on Terrorism";
- the European Commission Notice of 28.9.2017 "Handbook on how to issue and execute a European arrest warrant".

For the **Council of Europe** we would like to highlight the following resolutions and recommendations:

of the **Parliamentary Assembly**:

- the Resolution 2192 of 13.10.2017, "Youth against corruption";
- the Resolution 2191 and the Recommendation 2116 of 12.10.2017, "Promoting the human rights of and eliminating discrimination against intersex people";
- the Resolution 2190 of 12.10.2017, "Prosecuting and punishing the crimes against humanity or even possible genocide committed by Daesh";
- the Resolution 2189 of 12.10.2017, "The new Ukrainian law on education: a major impediment to the teaching of national minorities' mother tongues";
- the Recommendation 2115 of 12.10.2017, "The use of new genetic technologies in human beings";
- the Resolution 2188 of 11.10.2017, "New threats to the rule of law in Council of Europe member States: selected examples";
- the Resolution 2187 of 11.10.2017, "Venice Commission's "Rule of Law Checklist";
- the Recommendation 2114 of 11.10.2017, "Defending the *acquis* of the Council of Europe: preserving 65 years of successful intergovernmental co-operation";
- the Resolution 2186 and the Recommendation 2113 of 11.10.2017, "Call for a Council of Europe Summit to reaffirm European unity and to defend and promote democratic security in Europe";
- the Resolution 2185 of 11.10.2017, "Azerbaijan's Chairmanship of the Council of Europe: what follow-up on respect for human rights?";
- the Resolution 2184 of 11.10.2017, "The functioning of democratic institutions in Azerbaijan";

- the Resolution 2183 of 11.10.2017, "Evaluation of the partnership for democracy in respect of the Parliament of Jordan";

of the **Committee of Ministers**:

- the Recommendation CM/Rec(2017)10 of 17.10.2017 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on improving access to justice for Roma and Travellers in Europe;
- the Recommendation CM/Rec(2017)9 of 27.09.2017 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on gender equality in the audiovisual sector;
- the Recommendation CM/Rec(2017)8 of 27.09.2017 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on Big Data for culture, literacy and democracy;
- the Recommendation CM/Rec(2017)7 of 27.09.2017 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States on the contribution of the European Landscape Convention to the exercise of human rights and democracy with a view to sustainable development.

We would like to highlight also the following acts of the **European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT)**:

- 17.10.2017, CPT publishes report on Turkey;
- 12.10.2017, CPT publishes highly critical report on prisons in "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia";
- 26.09.2017, Greece: anti-torture committee criticises treatment of irregular migrants and the continued detention of migrant children;
- 21.09.2017, Council of Europe anti-torture Committee publishes response of the Dutch authorities;
- 20.09.2017, Council of Europe anti-torture Committee publishes report on Slovenia.

For the **Court of Justice**, we added the decisions:

- 9.11.2017, C-98/15, *Espadas Recio*, on the principle of equal treatment in matters of social security;
- 9.11.2017, C-217/16, *Dimos Zagoriou*, on the identification of the competent national court to hear disputes regarding enforceable decisions of the European Commission ordering, persons and not States, the recovery of sums paid;
- 9.11.2017, C-298/16, *Ispas*, on the right to be heard and the right to be informed during an administrative tax procedure;
- 9.11.2017, C-641/16, *Tünkers France and Tünkers Maschinenbau*, on the court having jurisdiction on the action for unfair competition brought in the context of insolvency proceedings;
- 26.10.2017, C-195/16, *I*, on mutual recognition of driving licence;
- 25.10.2017, C-106/16, *Polbud - Wykonawstwo*, on the transfer of a company's statutory seat to another Member State and freedom of establishment;
- 25.10.2017, C-201/16, *Shiri*, on time limits for the transfer of an applicant for international protection to another Member State;
- 19.10.2017, C-531/15, *Otero Ramos*, on a breastfeeding worker and the risk assessment of her work, on equal treatment and discrimination on grounds of sex;
- 19.10.2017, C-598/16 P, *Yanukovich v. Council*, and C-599/16 P, *Yanukovich v. Council*, on the freezing of funds of the President of Ukraine and his son;
- 18.10.2017, C-409/16, *Maria-Eleni Kalliri*, on the minimum physical height requirement on all candidates for admission to the police school of a Member State and discrimination on grounds of sex;
- 17.10.2017, C-194/16, *Bolagsupplysningen and Ilsjan*, on the infringement of the rights of a legal person by the publication on the internet of allegedly incorrect information concerning that person and by the failure to remove comments relating to that person;
- 12.10.2017, C-278/16, *Frank Sleutjes*, on the right to translation in criminal proceedings and the concept of essential documents;

- 27.09.2017, C-73/16, *Peter Puškár*, on the drawing up of a list of personal data for tax collection, the right to the protection of personal data and legal action dependent on a requirement of a prior administrative complaint;
- 21.09.2017, C-429/16, *Ciupa and others*, and C-149/16, *Socha and others*, both on the assimilation to redundancies of terminations of an employment contract which occur on the employer's initiative following the unilateral amendment by the employer of working and pay conditions;
- 21.09.2017, C-125/16, *Malta Dental Technologists Association and Reynaud*, on the recognition of professional qualifications and freedom of establishment;
- 21.09.2017, C-171/16, *Beshkov*, on the interpretation of the Framework Decision 2008/675/JHA on taking into account of convictions in the Member States of the European Union in the course of new criminal proceedings;
- 20.09.2017, C-186/16, *Andriciuc and others*, on a loan agreement concluded in a foreign currency and consumer protection;
- 14.09.2017, joined cases C-168/16 and C-169/16, *Nogueira and others*, on the jurisdiction over individual contracts of employment in the airline sector and the concept of "place in which the employee habitually carries out his work";
- 13.09.2017, C-111/16, *Giorgio Fidenato and others*, on the adoption of emergency measures with regard to genetically modified food and feed and on the protection of health or the environment;

and the conclusions of the **Advocate General**:

- 24.10.2017, joined cases C-316/16 and C-424/16, *B*, on the right to permanent stay as preliminary condition for a Union national to qualify for enhanced protection against expulsion;
- 24.10.2017, C-353/16, *MP*, on the after-effects of torture and on the right to stay on humanitarian grounds;
- 14.09.2017, C-103/16, *Porrás Guisado*, on collective redundancies also of pregnant workers.

For the **European Court of Human Rights** we would like to highlight the judgments:

- 31.10.2017, *Kamenos v. Cyprus* (n. 147/07), on the applicability of article 6 of the Convention to the disciplinary proceeding against a judge who has been accused, heard and condemned by the same judicial body;
- 31.10.2017, *Krajnc v. Slovenia* (n. 38775/14), on the reduction of the applicant's disability pension even if a new assessment found a diminution of his working ability;
- 26.10.2017, *Azzolina and others v. Italy* (n. 28923/09 and 67599/10), on tortures committed on demonstrators during the G8, considered in violation of the Convention;
- 26.10.2017, *Ratzenböck and Seydl v. Austria* (n. 28475/12), on the refusal to a heterosexual couple to subscribe a registered civil union, reserved to homosexual couples, deemed not in violation of the Convention;
- 24.10.2017, *Dickmann and Gion v. Romania* (n. 10346/03 and 10893/04), on the violation of the Convention for the impossibility to obtain the restitution of nationalized goods or compensation;
- 19.10.2017, *Tsalkitzis v. Greece (No. 2)* (n. 72624/10), on the refusal to suspend a criminal proceeding for libel, considered not in violation of the Convention;
- 19.10.2017, *Lebois v. Bulgaria* (n. 67482/14), on unjustified restrictions to the right of a foreign applicant to receive visits and use a telephone during precautionary detention;
- 19.10.2017, *Verlagsgruppe Droemer Knaur GMBH & Co. KG v. Germany* (n. 35030/13), on a publishing house condemned to pay damages to a person, who had been referred to as a presumed member of the mafia;
- 12.10.2017, *Adyan and other v. Armenia* (n. 75604/11), on the sentence against a conscientious objector for having refused to do his national or civil service;
- 12.10.2017, Grand Chamber Judgment, *Burmych and others v. Ukraine* (n. 46852/13 and others), in which the Court decided to pull itself away from a situation of mass non-

execution by Ukraine: the Court decided that these cases would be struck out of its list and become the responsibility of the Committee of Ministers;

- 5.10.2017, *Kalēja v. Latvia* (n. 22059/08), on the length of the proceeding in a case in which the accused person had initially been treated as a witness and had not been assisted by a lawyer;
- 5.10.2017, *Becker v. Norway* (n. 21272/12), on a journalist compelled to witness against a person, who had been for him a source of information;
- 5.10.2017, *Ābele v. Latvia* (n. 60429/12 and 72760/12), on the violation of the Convention for the detention conditions of a deaf-mute;
- 3.10.2017, *N.D. and N.T. v. Spain* (n. 8675/15 and 8697/15), on the violation of the Convention for the immediate expulsion of a group of migrants with no possibility to have access to the relevant administrative procedures;
- 3.10.2017, *Alexandru Enache v. Romania* (n. 16986/12), on the law, which allows the suspension of the sanction for mothers, which is not applicable to fathers, deemed not in violation of the Convention;
- 3.10.2017, *Dmitriyevskiy v. Russia* (n. 42168/06), on the case of the criminal conviction of the editor-in-chief of a regional newspaper following the alleged publication of statements by two Chechen separatist leaders;
- 3.10.2017, *D.M.D. v. Romania* (n. 23022/13), on the excessive length of the proceeding for domestic violence on a minor;
- 21.09.2017, *Severe v. Austria* (n. 53661/15), on the lack of adoption of adequate measures by the authorities in order to guarantee the execution of the repatriation order of an adopted child on the basis of the Convention of the Hague, in violation of the Convention;
- 21.09.2017, *Axel Springer SE and RTL Television GmbH v. Germany* (n. 51405/12), on the decision to prohibit the publication of images, which would allow to recognize a person convicted of homicide, deemed not in violation of the Convention;
- 19.09.2017, Grand Chamber Judgment, *Regner v. Czech Republic* (n. 35289/11), on the impossibility to get to know a fundamental element of proof, qualified as confidential information, in the event of a new judicial examination of an administrative decision, deemed not in violation of article 6;
- 14.09.2017, Grand Chamber Judgment, *Károly Nagy v. Hungary* (n. 56665/09), on the application lodged by a priest against the Church for unfair dismissal;
- 14.09.2017, *Bozza v. Italy* (n. 17739/09), which found the violation of the Convention for the inadmissibility of the application for violation of the right to be tried within a reasonable time, which was deemed overdue by the Court, not having considered the phase of the execution in the calculation of term to lodge the application;
- 7.09.2017, *Stollenwerk v. Germany* (n. 8844/12), on the applicability of article 5§4 in the event of rejection of the appeal of a condemned detainee against the maintenance in prison, without giving him the possibility to answer to the authorities;
- 5.09.2017, Grand Chamber Judgment, *Falbialn v. Hungary* (n. 78117/13), on the lawfulness of the different treatment between civil servants and workers in the private sector with regard to the possibility to continue to receive a public pension;
- 5.09.2017, *Tekin and Arslan v. Belgium* (n. 37795/13), on the death of a prisoner following the wrong behaviour of two warders;
- 5.09.2017, *Bărbulescu v. Romania* (n. 61496/08), on the violation of the Convention due to the monitoring, by the employer, of the use of internet by an employee at his workplace and the use of the content of such communications to justify his dismissal;

and the decisions:

- 19.10.2017, inadmissibility decision, *Tamiz v. the United Kingdom* (n. 3877/14), on the refusal to notify abroad a legal action for libel, because the damage to the reputation had been deemed very light;
- 29.08.2017, inadmissibility decision, *Sioutis v. Greece* (n. 16393/14), on the refusal to notify to the applicant the copy of the judgment of a proceeding he didn't take part to;

- 11.07.2017, inadmissibility decision, *Saygılı v. Turkey* (n. 42914/16), on the exhaustion of domestic remedies to take a civil legal action for the compensation of damages deriving from the violation of the right to reputation.

For the **extra-European area** we have included:

- the order of the *United States District Court for the District of Hawaii* and the decision of the *United States District Court District of Maryland* of 17.10.2017, which blocked the execution of section 2 (with some exceptions) of the Proclamation No. 9645 entitled "Enhancing Vetting Capabilities and Processes for Detecting Attempted Entry Into the United States by Terrorists or Other Public-Safety Threats", signed by President Trump on 24 September 2017, and aiming at suspending or limiting the entry in the United States of nationals from 8 different Countries;
- the decision of the *Supreme Court of India* of 11.10.2017, which reinterpreted the norms of Exception 2 to Section 375 of the Criminal Code, where they did not classify as rape intercourses with a girl aged between 15 and 18 years old, aside from the consent or not of the girl, if she had them with her husband, limiting their scope to adult wives (over 18 years old);
- the decision of the *Supreme Court of Kenya* of 20.9.2017, which invalidated the presidential elections of 8 August 2017 for substantial irregularities and illegalities and breaches of constitutional principles;
- the order of the *Supreme Court of the United States* of 12.9.2017, which suspended, for refugees covered by "formal assurance", the execution of the decision of the *United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit* of 7.9.2017, which had confirmed the decision of the District Court of Hawaii, with which such Court, interpreting the decision of the Supreme Court of 26.6.2016 and expanding the importance of the Government's guidelines, had excluded the application of the Executive Order n. 13.780 "Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States" to grandparents, grandchildren, brothers and sisters' in law, uncles, aunts, nieces, nephews and cousins of persons in the United States, as well as the refugees covered by a "formal assurance" by an agency in the American territory or who are staying in the United States thanks to the *Lautenberg Program*; with the order of 24.10.2017, the *Supreme Court of the United States* vacated the present case (*Trump, President of U.S., et al. v. Hawaii, et al.*) because of the expiration of the terms of the Executive Order n. 13.780;
- the order of the *United States District Court for the Western District of Texas Austin Division* of 31.8.2017, in the matter of abortion, which temporarily suspended the execution of some norms of Section 6 of the Texas Senate Bill 8;
- the decisions of the *Inter-American Court of Human Rights* of 24.8.2017, case *Gutiérrez Hernández y otros vs. Guatemala*, on the lack of adequate investigations on an alleged forced disappearance; and the decision of 22.8.2017, case *Ortiz Hernández y otros vs. Venezuela*, on the State responsibility for the death of a student of the *Escuela de Formación de Guardias Nacionales de Cordero* (ESGUARNAC), who died during a military drill.

As far as **case law of national courts** is concerned, the following decisions must be highlighted:

- **Belgium:** the decision of the *Cour constitutionnelle* n. 120/2017 of 12.10.2017, concerning family reunification in the event of registered partnership, in the light of article 8 of the ECHR; the decision n. 116/2017 of 12.10.2017, on the compatibility of the norms of the Code on income taxes and the Code on VAT, in the matter of access to professional premises by revenue service's officials with the right to the respect for private life and for domicile, which recalls the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg; the decision n. 109/2017 of 5.10.2017, which quashed article 2 of the law of 21 April 2016 amending the laws on the use of languages in administrative matters (*lois sur l'emploi des langues en matière administrative*), also in the light of the decision of the

Court of Justice in the case *European Commission v. Kingdom of Belgium* (C-317/14); the decision n. 107/2016 of 28.9.2017, which rejected the claim lodged against certain articles of the law of 16 November 2015, providing for norms in social matters, and of the program-law (I) of 26 December 2015, which provides for a "flexi-jobs" regime within the hotel and restaurant field, recalling the norms of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, of Directive 2003/88/EC, the European Social Charter and the ECHR and the jurisprudence of the Courts of Strasbourg and Luxembourg; the decision n. 106/2017 of 28.9.2017, which makes a reference for a preliminary ruling to the Court of Justice on the interpretation of certain articles of Directive 2006/112/EC, on the common system of value added tax, with particular regard to the norms in the matter of exemption for medical services; the decision n. 105/2017 of 28.9.2017, in the matter of patents and intellectual property, which recalls article 1 of the First Additional Protocol to the ECHR and the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg; and the decision n. 104/2017 of 28.9.2017, which rejected the claim lodged against chapter 2, first section ("*Bonification pour diplôme*") of the law of 28 April 2015, providing for norms on civil servants' pensions, recalling the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, Directive 2000/78/EC and the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice;

- **Bosnia and Herzegovina:** the decision of the *Ustavni sud* (Constitutional Court) of 28.9.2017, which established that article 3.15 of the electoral law, which rules the vote of nationals residing abroad and persons covered by the status of refugees coming from Bosnia and Herzegovina, is compatible with constitutional norms, the ECHR and the norms of the Additional Protocols n. 1 and 12; and the decision of 6.7.2017, which found the constitutional legitimacy of the Law Declaring March 1 as the Independence Day of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina, also in the light of article 14 of the ECHR and article 1 of the Additional Protocol n. 12;
- **France:** the decision of the *Cour de cassation* n. 1101/2017 of 18.10.2017, on the alleged responsibility of a company producing vaccines against hepatitis B for the emergence of such illness in vaccinated persons, which examines Directive 85/374/EC; the decision n. 2067/2017 of 21.9.2017, which recalls the norms of Directive 2003/88/EC in the matter of annual leave; and the decision n. 1099/2017 of 13.9.2017, on the alleged responsibility of a company producing vaccines in the case of a person affected by multiple sclerosis, which examines Directive 85/374/EC;
- **Germany:** the decision of the *Bundesverfassungsgericht* (Federal Constitutional Court) of 18.10.2017, which rejected the claim lodged by some German parliamentarians against the ECB for the purchase of national titles and bonds, which recalls Union's jurisprudence and norms;
- **Great Britain:** the decision of the *United Kingdom Supreme Court* of 18.10.2017, in which the Court finds the incompatibility with article 6 of the ECHR of the norms of the *State Immunity Act 1978*, where they exclude that foreign employees of a foreign embassy in London may take legal action before a Court in the event of dismissal; and the decision of 26.7.2017, in the matter of immigration and right to marry and found a family; the decision of the *England and Wales High Court* of 5.10.2017, which rejects the claim of a terminally-ill patient, who asked for a protocol for assisted suicide; the decision of 18.9.2017, on gestational surrogacy, deemed lawful in England only if it is excluded any kind of economic agreement or profit; and the decision of 8.8.2017, in which the Court orders the National Health System (NHS) to reimburse the cost of a medicine for a rare metabolic disease of a seven years old child, also in the light of the norms of the UN Convention on children's rights;
- **Ireland:** the decision of the *High Court* of 3.10.2017, which, in the case *The Data Protection Commissioner v. Facebook Ireland Limited and Maximilian Schrems*, admits the request for a reference for a preliminary ruling to the Court of Justice on the validity of the decisions of the European Commission regarding standard contract clauses for the transfer of personal data towards third Countries, also applying the norms of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice;
- **Italy:** the decision of the *Corte di cassazione* n. 23651/2017 of 10.10.2017, which recalls the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg in a case of alleged violation of article 6 of the ECHR for failed access to judicial attachment; the order n. 22764/2017 of 28.9.2017, in the matter of compensation for degrading prison conditions, which

- examines the guideline of the Court of Strasbourg; the decision n. 43112/2017 of 20.9.2017, on the case "Contrada", which examines the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg; the decision n. 41211/2017 of 11.9.2017, on the minimum living space in prison, in the light of the guideline of the Court of Strasbourg; and the decision n. 40076/2017 of 5.9.2017, on the legitimacy and interpretation of the norms on special supervision, which examines the jurisprudence of the ECHR and especially the decision in the case *De Tommaso v. Italy*; the decision of the *Corte di appello di Venezia* of 5.9.2017, on discrimination deriving from the denial of social benefits to immigrants without a long-term residence permit, which recalls the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice and article 14 of the ECHR; the order of the *Tribunale di Catania* of 27.6.2017, in the matter of family reunification, which adopts an interpretation in conformity of national legislation in the light of Directive 2003/86/EC; and the measure of the *Giudice di Pace di Roma* (Justice of the peace of Rome) of 25.8.2017, on the validation of the measure providing to keep the migrant in the CIE (identification and expulsion centre), which examines the self-executing profile of EU norms on such matter;
- **Lithuania:** the decision of the *Konstitucinis Teismas* (Constitutional Court) of 15.3.2016, which states the constitutional illegitimacy of the norms of the "Law on Sickness and Maternity Social Insurance and of Regulations on Sickness and Maternity Social Insurance Allowances" on the calculation of maternity allowance, also recalling the European Social Charter, Directive 92/85/EEC and the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice;
 - **Poland:** the decision of the *Trybunał Konstytucyjny* (Constitutional Court) of 18.10.2017, on the constitutional legitimacy of article 144 of the law of 17 June 1966 on "Administrative Proceedings on Enforced Debt Collection", also in the light of article 8 of the ECHR;
 - **Spain:** the decision of the *Tribunal Supremo* of 13.9.2017, which quashed the decision with which the first instance Court excluded the Government of Gibraltar's right to bring an action to recognize the right to amend an article published in a newspaper, recalling the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice; and the order of 7.7.2017, in the matter of right of the defence, which recalls the jurisprudence of the Court of Strasbourg and Directive 2013/48/EU; and the decision of the *Audiencia Nacional* (National Court) of 21.9.2017, on the request of revocation of an administrative measure granting Spanish nationality, which recalls European Union law relevant in such matter.

For what concerns **comments**, we have included the following texts:

Articles:

[Stefania Baroncelli](#) "Editorial to n. 2/2017 of the review *Osservatorio sulle fonti (Observatory on sources)*"

[Domenico Moro](#) "A federal European system of insurance against unemployment"

[Lucia Tria](#) "Thirst for freedom and dignity: right to work between norms and practices"

[Antonio Ruggeri](#) "Principle of solidarity put to the test by the phenomenon of migration"

[Lorenzo Salazar](#) "Habemus Eppo! The long march towards the European Public Prosecutor's Office"

[Eugenio Zaniboni](#) "Economic inequalities within the States in the international juridical perspective"

Notes and comments:

[Francesco Buffa](#) "The employer's control on employee's electronic communications after the decision of the ECHR in the case *Barbulescu 2*"

[Stefano Celentano](#) "Stepchild adoption. Endurance tests"

[Federico Grillo Pasquarelli](#) "Age discriminations: Cinderella has forever lost her shoe"

[Laura Rizza](#) "Right to family reunification of persons with elective residence visa. Note to the order of the Court of Catania n. 9430/2017, I Civil section"

Reports:

[Marco Bignami](#) "European Court of Human Rights and retroactive norms"

[Giacinto Bisogni](#) "Relevance of Costantino Mortati's thought and the actual foundation of the European Union"

[Jean Claude Juncker](#) "Speech on the state of the Union"

[Filippo Patroni Griffi](#) ""Judicial customs and traditions and common law"

Documents:

[Opinion of the Commission of Venice](#) "On the provisions of the Emergency Decree Law n° 674 of 1 September 2016", of 9 October 2017

[Report of the International Labour Organization \(ILO\) for 2017](#) "World Employment and Social Outlook 2017: Sustainable enterprises and jobs – Formal enterprises and decent work", of 9 October 2017

[Article of the Associazione per gli Studi Giuridici sull'Immigrazione \(ASGI\)](#) (Association for the juridical studies on immigration) "New political-juridical guidelines of the European Union in virtue of new and radical restrictions on the right to asylum", of 14 September 2017

[Report of the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions \(Eurofond\)](#) "Working time patterns for sustainable work", of 18 September 2017

[Report of the House of Lords](#) "European Union (Withdrawal) Bill: interim report", of 7 September 2017

[Report of the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions \(Eurofond\)](#) "In-work poverty in EU", of 5 September 2017

[Joint Report of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the sale and sexual exploitation of children, including child prostitution and child pornography and other sexual abuse material and of the Special Rapporteur on trafficking in persons, especially women and children](#) "Sale and sexual exploitation of children, including child prostitution, child pornography and other

child sexual abuse material; and trafficking in persons, especially women and children”, of 18 July 2017